

### REMARKS

In a Decision on Appeal dated August 31, 2009, the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences affirmed the rejection of Claims 2-8, 11-12, 14-17, 20-26, and 28-30 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over United States Patent No. 6,161,140 to *Moriya*. At page 5 of the Decision on Appeal, the Board stated that its reason for affirmance was that “the claim [28] does not preclude the central facility performing the steps of analyzing the data page and automatically displaying the data page.”

Accordingly, Applicant has made amendments herein to make clear that all recited steps of exemplary Claim 28 are performed in the portable device rather than by a central facility as taught by *Moriya*. Consequently, Applicant respectfully submits that exemplary Claim 28, similar Claims 29-30, and their respective dependent claims are not rendered unpatentable by *Moriya* under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Please charge any additional fees that are required to IBM Corporation Deposit Account No. 09-0447.

Respectfully submitted,

/Brian F. Russell/

Brian F. Russell  
Reg. No. 40,796  
DILLON & YUDELL LLP  
8911 N. Capital of Texas Highway  
Suite 2110  
Austin, Texas 78759  
512-343-6116